IMPERIAL PARLIAMENT

(BY TELEGRAPH PER PRESS ASSOCIATION.)

HOUSE OF LORDS.—THURSDAY.

The Lord Chancellor took his seat on the woolsack at 4-10 o'clock.

The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act 1881 Amendment Bill passed through committee.

THE SALE OF GOODS BILL.

The Sale of Goods Bill, which was stated to be the exact form in which it passed their lordships' House last year, after a careful consideration by a standing committee, was read a second time.

THE HARES BILL.

Lord WHARNCLIFFE moved the second reading of the Hares Bill, which, he stated, had passed the other House. It proposed to prohibit the exposure of hares for sale during the months from May to July.

The bill was read a second time.

OTHER ORDERS.

The Millbank Prisons Bill was read a third time and passed.

The Poor Law (Ireland) Amendment Bill passed a second reading.

The Labourers (Ireland) Allotments Bill went through committee.

The House adjourned at 5-10 o'clock.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.—THURSDAY.

The Speaker took the chair at three o'clock.

Sir MICHAEL HICKS-BEACH presented a special report by the committee on the Hours of Railway Servants, and it was ordered to be printed.

SENTENCES OF DEATH.

In answer to Mr. Cobb,

The HOME SECRETARY said that in 1889 there were in England and Wales 167 verdicts of wilful murder found by Coroners' Juries, 71 prisoners committed for trial, 20 convictions, 11 executions, and 9 cases were commuted. In 1890 there were 159 verdicts of wilful murder, 86 persons were committed for trial, 24 convictions, 15 executions, and 9 cases were commuted.

A SOLDIER'S SHAMROCK.

In reply to Colonel Nolan and others,

Mr. STANHOPE said—I have received the following report from the officer commanding the battalion of the Royal Welsh Fusiliers in which Private O'Grady is serving:—

To the Brigade-Major Chatham, 21st March, 1892. 10-15 p.m.

Sir,—With reference to your memorandum of this date on the minute of the Adjutant-General at Aldershot, forwarding a copy of a telegram from the Adjutant-General, Horse Guards, calling for a report on the subject of the accompanying paragraph extracted from the *Daily News* of Saturday last, I have the honour to report as follows:—On the morning of the 17th inst., as musketry fatigue party (markers), of 36 non-commissioned officers and men, of which number the 2,981 Private Thomas Grady formed one, paraded at 6-55 on the barrack square, under Captain Tindall, for the purpose of proceeding to the rifle range at Ash. Captain Tindall stated that while inspecting his party he observed a man with a bunch of green stuck in his Glengarry cap, and, not being aware that it was the 17th March (ironical Irish cheers)—and that it was shamrock, gave an order to the senior non-commissioned officer to direct Private Grady to remove it. The latter ordered to do so Private Grady replied in the most insubordinate manner. (Loud Irish Nationalist cheers)—and on a second order from another non-commissioned officer in the rear again replied, "I won't do it." (Renewed Irish Nationalist cheers.) Captain thereupon ordered him to be made a prisoner—(loud Ministerial cheers)—for refusing to comply with the order. (Hear, hear.) On his attention being called to this charge, I awarded him 48 hours' imprisonment with hard labour. (Interruption.) Explaining to him that the punishment was on account of wearing shamrock, but on account of his insubordinate refusal to comply with the order given. I attach a copy of Private Grady's defaulter sheet. I inspected him out of barracks at the time your minute arrived, and the second in command was not sufficiently acquainted with the details of the case to report fully until I should have the honour to be, sir, your obedient servant.

HARVEY N. ADAMS, Major.

I think the House will agree that the punishment of the soldier was amply justified under the circumstances. I may add, in reply to the hon. and gallant member for North Galway, that officers of high rank, on certain occasions, wear the shamrock in their uniforms, but His Royal Highness the SEXTON—Are we to understand, from the reply of the right hon. gentleman, that Irish soldiers in the British army are to consider they are not at liberty to wear the shamrock? (Hear, hear.)

Mr. STANHOPE—When off duty, an Irish soldier can wear any badge he likes. When on duty, he can only do what he has permission to do.

COUNTY COUNCILS AND THE SMALL HOLDINGS BILL.

Mr. CHAPLIN (Minister of Agriculture), in reply to Mr. Hobhouse, stated that it was not intended that the maximum amount which a County Council was to be allowed to borrow under the Agricultural Small Holdings Bill should depend on the amount of existing charges on the county rate. The limit was to be 1d. in the pound, irrespective of any existing liabilities.

REPORTING THE DEBATES.

Mr. DALZIELL asked the First Lord of the Treasury when the Government intended to move the appointment of a committee to enquire into the present system of reporting the debates of the House?

Mr. A. BALFOUR replied that if it were the opinion of the House that such an enquiry should be held he would be glad to move its appointment after Easter.

NEW MEMBERS.

Mr. Thomas Healy took the oath and his seat for North Wexford in the room of Mr. John Redmond, who now sits for Waterford.

THE SMALL HOLDINGS BILL.

The adjourned debate on the second reading of the Small Agricultural Holdings Bill was resumed by

Mr. CHANNING, who pointed out that the Allotments Act had failed because it did not afford compulsion to bear on the grant of allotments in a sufficiently direct manner. Much more was this measure—which dealt with larger holdings—likely to fail when compulsion was entirely excluded from its scope. Why did not the Government provide the raw material for an experiment of this kind by declaring that the Crown lands, and those of the Duchy of Lancaster to the creation of small holdings? At the present moment there was no doubt that plenty of good clay land or even of poor land might be bought at the augmented price resultant from the artificial market created for them by this bill, but good and conveniently situated land could not be obtained at a fair price except through the means of compulsory powers. (Hear, hear.) He thought, however, that even in its present imperfect form the bill might be made an instrument of great good, if its local machinery was improved; if means were provided for the easy and cheap transfer of land, and if credit to enable competition by persons of purchase were inserted in it. (Hear, hear.)

The Hon. FREDERICK SMITH, who was received with loud cheers from both sides of the House, warmly supported the measure in his maiden speech. He said that however important this measure might be to the rural districts, it was of no less importance to the towns, inasmuch as it would tend to check the accumulation of rural labourers from the country. He believed that the bill would provide a safeguard against the undue congestion of population in the towns, and he would like to see it accompanied by measures to facilitate the registration for tithes and the transfer of land, for it was through the cheapness and the facility of their land transfer system that the prosperity of tenantship in France was mainly based. After criticising some of the clauses of the bill, the hon. gentleman expressed in some detail his approval of the general objects of the measure, and his earnest hope and expectation that it would promote the best interests of the State alike in town and in country. The hon. gentleman was again loudly cheered on resuming his seat.

Mr. GLADSTONE said that no one could be more assured than the hon. gentleman who had just sat down of a favourable reception from the House, for he was the bearer of a name which at once commended him to universal respect and sympathy. He (Mr. Gladstone) was glad that on being the bearer of that name he had given the House good reason to suppose that by his own qualities he would merit their confidence and approval. (Cheers.) They had had from time to time a great work which could not expect to make very rapid progress, but if the work was a great one and the rate of progress was likely to be slow, there was all the more reason why they should give every possible extension to the measure before them. And, while he regarded the measure as an important step in a right direction, he thought it fell short of what the necessities of the case required. It was a matter of deep regret that in the vast majority of cases the only relation between the cultivators of the soil in England was that of hired labourers. Measures must be taken not only to alter this, but, if possible, to destroy the key by which the system was to be enabled to make some provision for his old age. With this view they ought, therefore, to enlarge the plan before them as far as possible, and although he had no desire to obstruct the passage of the measure, even if the amendments which those on that side of the House thought desirable were not introduced into it, he thought it was desirable to state what those amendments were. (Hear, hear.) In the first place, he regarded it as essential that the principle of compulsion should be introduced into the bill, for without that he did not believe that it would be possible to provide the small holders with holdings contiguous to their residence, and at a reasonable rate or price. (Hear, hear.) Then he could not doubt that there should be a smaller area of action than a county. How could a County Council judge whether there was a demand for small holdings in any particular part of the county? Those to whom he had spoken in parishes were too small. He admitted that, but between the parish and the county there was room for a satisfactory arrangement. They knew that there were clerks of districts, and there should not only be a smaller area of action, but that smaller administrative bodies than the County Council should be charged with the administration of the Act. He next came to a part of the subject to which he attached great importance. Why should not the County Councils take the lead of providing the land? By this means they would avoid burthening the rates, while they would dispense with State intervention. He admitted that it might be necessary for the council to lend rent in order to guard against depreciation in the value of the land at a future period, but he laid no great stress on this because he believed that the effect of the creation of small holdings would be to improve the cultivation of the land and therefore increase its value. The County Council having acquired land either by purchase or lease, he would give them complete liberty in regard either to selling or letting it. Speaking generally, there would be far more persons desirous of having than of purchasing land, he indeed, it was evident that it would be much more advantageous to persons with small capital to lease or hire land than to purchase it, because, in the former case, they would be able to employ the whole of this capital in the cultivation of the land, from which they might derive trade profits, while in the latter case they would be called on to invest two-fifths of their capital in a manner which could not be expected to reap a return of more than three per cent. He was not wedded to the provisions of the measure would be so altered as to let in the principle of tenure otherwise than by purchase. The right hon. gentleman concluded by again urging the desirability of giving the local authorities a voice to a plan of this kind, with a view to remove what he regarded as a national discredit, to increase the prosperity of the country, and the stability of British society. (Cheers.)

Mr. BALFOUR said they did not imagine that by this bill they were going to substitute an agricultural paradise—(laughter)—but they were going to raise the tone of agricultural life, give opportunities to men to save money and rise in the social scale, and confer an enormous advantage on the country at large. The suggestions of the right hon. gentleman would not get rid of the necessity for a small holder being dependent for a considerable portion of his livelihood on some other trade. The right hon. gentleman asked why the limit of one acre was fixed in the bill? The reason was that the Allotments Act dealt with portions of land smaller in size. The right hon. gentleman had spoken of compulsion, and had said that if a fair system of compulsion were adopted, the landlord would not pecuniarily suffer. But they had to look at the interests not only of the farmer. Seeing that it was expected that the best land would be taken for small holdings, in their interests it was only fair to make a full trial of the voluntary system. If, in any case, it did not work, that was wanted could be obtained. When the right hon. gentleman, the member for Midlothian, came to embody his own suggestions in amendments, he would find himself in difficulties. Though the right hon. gentleman would like to see freeholds established, yet he wanted scope left for leaseholds, but the Government could not do something to recreate the system of small yeoman owners. (Cheers.) To create leaseholds would be to waste their force upon what they did not think the higher value. The right hon. gentleman (Mr. Gladstone) had suggested that leasing the land would relieve the County Council finances, but it would rather burthen them, for they would have to pay at a higher rate for a lease than for a purchase outright. The right hon. gentleman apparently desired that the County Council should let these small holdings at a rack rent, and that was a proposition that he hoped the House would never entertain. (Hear, hear.) While he hoped and expected that this bill would do much to raise the condition of the agricultural population, he did not rest his hopes upon the ground stated by the right hon. gentleman, nor could he believe that it would have the effect of raising the standard of agriculture in this country. (Hear, hear.) Agriculture in this country had not been raised by small owners; it was still to the large owners that they must look for the future development of agriculture. (Cheers.) But there was no reason why, as in foreign countries, we should not have a system of small large and small holdings, and he was persuaded that such a system would be the best for the agricultural population and for the large owners themselves. (Cheers.)

Mr. Lagan, Mr. Llewellyn, and Mr. Johnson Ferguson having criticised the details of the bill,

Mr. BETHELL supported the second reading, but said that although he thought the County Council were the best authorities for raising the money which might be required to carry out the bill, he doubted whether they were the best authorities for carrying out the scheme. The limit was to be 1d. in the pound, irrespective of any existing liabilities.

REPORTING THE DEBATES.

Mr. DALZIELL asked the First Lord of the Treasury when the Government intended to move the appointment of a committee to enquire into the present system of reporting the debates of the House?

Mr. A. BALFOUR replied that if it were the opinion of the House that such an enquiry should be held he would be glad to move its appointment after Easter.

NEW MEMBERS.

Mr. Thomas Healy took the oath and his seat for North Wexford in the room of Mr. John Redmond, who now sits for Waterford.

THE SMALL HOLDINGS BILL.

The adjourned debate on the second reading of the Small Agricultural Holdings Bill was resumed by

Mr. CHANNING, who pointed out that the Allotments Act had failed because it did not afford compulsion to bear on the grant of allotments in a sufficiently direct manner. Much more was this measure—which dealt with larger holdings—likely to fail when compulsion was entirely excluded from its scope. Why did not the Government provide the raw material for an experiment of this kind by declaring that the Crown lands, and those of the Duchy of Lancaster to the creation of small holdings? At the present moment there was no doubt that plenty of good clay land or even of poor land might be bought at the augmented price resultant from the artificial market created for them by this bill, but good and conveniently situated land could not be obtained at a fair price except through the means of compulsory powers. (Hear, hear.) He thought, however, that even in its present imperfect form the bill might be made an instrument of great good, if its local machinery was improved; if means were provided for the easy and cheap transfer of land, and if credit to enable competition by persons of purchase were inserted in it. (Hear, hear.)

The Hon. FREDERICK SMITH, who was received with loud cheers from both sides of the House, warmly supported the measure in his maiden speech. He said that however important this measure might be to the rural districts, it was of no less importance to the towns, inasmuch as it would tend to check the accumulation of rural labourers from the country. He believed that the bill would provide a safeguard against the undue congestion of the population in the towns, and he would like to see it accompanied by measures to facilitate the registration for tithes and the transfer of land, for it was through the cheapness and the facility of their land transfer system that the prosperity of tenantship in France was mainly based. After criticising some of the clauses of the bill, the hon. gentleman expressed in some detail his approval of the general objects of the measure, and his earnest hope and expectation that it would promote the best interests of the State alike in town and in country. The hon. gentleman was again loudly cheered on resuming his seat.

Mr. GLADSTONE said that no one could be more assured than the hon. gentleman who had just sat down of a favourable reception from the House, for he was the bearer of a name which at once commended him to universal respect and sympathy. He (Mr. Gladstone) was glad that on being the bearer of that name he had given the House good reason to suppose that by his own qualities he would merit their confidence and approval. (Cheers.) They had had from time to time a great work which could not expect to make very rapid progress, but if the work was a great one and the rate of progress was likely to be slow, there was all the more reason why they should give every possible extension to the measure before them. And, while he regarded the measure as an important step in a right direction, he thought it fell short of what the necessities of the case required. It was a matter of deep regret that in the vast majority of cases the only relation between the cultivators of the soil in England was that of hired labourers. Measures must be taken not only to alter this, but, if possible, to destroy the key by which the system was to be enabled to make some provision for his old age. With this view they ought, therefore, to enlarge the plan before them as far as possible, and although he had no desire to obstruct the passage of the measure, even if the amendments which those on that side of the House thought desirable were not introduced into it, he thought it was desirable to state what those amendments were. (Hear, hear.) In the first place, he regarded it as essential that the principle of compulsion should be introduced into the bill, for without that he did not

LATEST TELEGRAMS

HOME NEWS.

(BY TELEGRAPH PER PRESS ASSOCIATION AND FROM OTHER CORRESPONDENTS.)

POLITICAL ITEMS.

On Thursday morning a special meeting of the representatives of the Miners' Federation of Great Britain was held at the Westminster Palace Hotel with reference to Wednesday's division in the House of Commons on the Miners' Eight Hours Bill. It was decided to recommend the constituencies represented by those who took the anti-section those members, irrespective of politics, who voted against the bill, and also to oppose any candidate who refused to pledge himself to vote for the bill when it is reintroduced next session.

The report of the evidence taken by the Special Committee on enquiry into the terms and conditions of service in the army has now left the Secretary's hands, and has been delivered to Mr. Stanhope. The report is of a voluminous character, consisting of about 800 pages. It is hoped to have it printed and circulated in the House of Commons in a week. The Special Committee has now been dissolved.

We understand that, in view of the answers given to questions in the House of Commons on Thursday, with reference to the military rules which prevent soldiers on duty from wearing the shamrock on St. Patrick's Day, Mr. Patrick O'Brien intends at once to introduce into Parliament a short measure, to be entitled the Shamrock Disabilities Removal Bill, for the purpose of allowing Irish soldiers to wear the national emblem on that anniversary. Mr. O'Brien will be glad to receive any suggestions as to his bill, any provision to authorise, in like manner, the wearing of the rose or the thistle by English or Scotch soldiers on their national anniversaries.

We understand that the Miners' Eight Hours Bill will be introduced next year in an amended form. It will then provide that miners in the counties of Northumberland and Durham from its operation, and also to define more clearly that the intended limitation is to eight hours work, not necessarily eight hours from bank to bank, as in some cases, would give little more than six hours work per day. It is believed that several members who voted on Wednesday in the majority against the second reading of the bill, would, if these modifications had been at once offered, have at least abstained from opposing the bill.

THE PROPOSED NEW RAILWAY TO LONDON.

The enquiry before the House of Commons Select Committee was continued on Thursday. A continuation of the evidence for Bucks, the promoters called Mr. William Lowndes, chairman of the Chesham Local Board, who said that by means of the line the Bucks people expected to get timber and coal direct from South Yorkshire and the North generally. Lord Denbigh, the owner of estates at Lutterworth and the neighbourhood, expressed the opinion that with the facilities this line would give Lutterworth would become a town. It was now over a half miles from any railway, and there was a universal anxiety in the district for the new line. Mr. Julian Pease, Coal Company Operatives' Society, and Mr. G. Brewis, coal merchant, gave evidence to show that the new line would be of great advantage to the coal trade in London. Mr. Munella, M.P., said that a proposed line was almost a matter of national interest. Since he had been in Parliament he never had so much pressure brought to bear upon him as the Sheffield business people in asking him to give all the assistance he could in the promotion of the extension. Mr. Alexander McArthur, M.P. for Leicester, said there was an overwhelming feeling among his constituents in favour of the new line. The committee again adjourned.

THE CAMBRIDGE SPINNING HOUSE.

DAISY HOPKIN'S ACTION.

At the Ipswich Assizes, on Thursday, the action brought by Daisy Hopkins, against the Rev F. Wallis, Proctor of Cambridge University, for false imprisonment, was commenced before Mr. Justice Mathew and a jury, the damages being laid at £1,000. Mr. Murphy, Q.C., and Bruce Cooper appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. Kemp, Q.C., and Mr. Rawlinson for the defendant. Mr. Murphy, in opening the case, said that while it was important to preserve young men at universities from dangers incident to them as regards the other sex it was equally important that any young woman who happened to be found in Cambridge should not be deprived of the general freedom attaching to the inhabitants of the realm, simply because students resided there and upon her fair name. He indignantly denounced the action of the Vice-Chancellor in sentencing his client to 14 days' imprisonment for the simple offence of walking with an undergraduate. In the Vice-Chancellor's Court, it was an offence to be suspected of an offence. If these things were legal, the girls of Cambridge must bow in sheer, blind, helpless, after careful consideration in the court, it would be found not to be so. It was not alleged that Daisy Hopkins was a prostitute, but only that the Proctor believed she was. Letters passed and charters granted to the University at different times were in derogation of the rights acquired by the people under Magna Charta. Mr. Bethell, a dressmaker, examined, said she was born July 21st, 1874, and lived in Cambridge with her parents. On December 2nd, between nine and 10 o'clock, she was returning from Newnham, where she had been to see her young man, when a gentleman asked her the way to Tennis Court-road. She told him and walked on about 300 yards, when the Proctor's man came up, took hold of her dress, and tore it from the gathers. She asked the reason, and he replied "You'll see when the Proctor comes. You've been speaking to a member of the university." When the Proctor came up, and after speaking to him, the man said "There's not the least doubt about it." She was taken to the Spinning House and her name asked, but no charge was made. She asked to see her people, as she could hear her mother's voice, but she was locked up in a cell, though subsequently she was sore throat, and the matron told her if she wanted water to go and get some, and that she could get it outside. The next morning she was taken to the Vice-Chancellor's Court, and on the threshold was asked, "Guilty or not guilty?" She replied, "Not guilty." Her solicitor had not been present. An adjournment took place, and she was sentenced to 14 days' imprisonment. Prison dress was put upon her, and she had no scrub floor, but, on the second day she left, the matron came to her and said, "By the permission of the Vice-Chancellor, you are released." At this point the court rose.

THE LABOUR COMMISSION.

The majority of the witnesses who have given evidence at the Labour Commission have complained that the Factory Inspectors are overworked, but Mr. Ashmore, of the manner in which it is conducted. Thursday, Committee A, over which Mr. David Dale presided, took evidence on the Mines Regulation Act. The mining inspectors themselves, who made some important statements concerning the conditions of labour in mines, will be examined next week. Among Mr. John B. Atkinson, who is the Government inspector in charge of the East of Scotland coal mining district. He gave evidence with reference to all the statements that had been made by previous Scotch witnesses before the commission. The mining agents, he said, had never reported that disabled workmen were allowed to work alone, nor had he ever received information as to any such case. In an unskilled miner was employed it did not follow that he would work alone.

A ROPE DANCER'S ESCAPADE.

At Blackburn, on Thursday morning, a fashionably-dressed young woman, named Celestina Cunliffe, was charged with stealing a diamond ring, earrings, and other articles belonging to Ludwig Von Jacobi and lodged. The prisoner, who is a Bolton girl, went to the prosecutor's house on Sunday, stating that she was an actress in the Comedy Pantomime Company, now playing at Blackburn, and that her specialty was champion skipping-rope dancing. As a matter of fact she was unconnected with the company. She was committed for trial.

NEW LOAD-LINE BILL.

It is proposed by the new Load-line Bill, which is backed by Mr. Chamberlain, that every ship so loaded as to submerge any part of the load-line prescribed by the Merchant Shipping Act of 1876 shall be deemed unsafe within the meaning of that Act, and the Custom authority are withheld its clearance papers so long as the load-line remains submerged.

THE O'SHEA-WOOD WILL CASE.

The O'Shea-Wood will case came on in the Probate Court on Thursday. Counsel, previous to opening, discussed the terms of a possible compromise. After the conference between the counsel and the judge, it was definitely announced the case had been compromised, subject to a reference to Chambers.

THE RAINHILL AND MELBOURNE TRAGEDIES.

DEEMING'S CAREER IN SOUTH AFRICA.

The Press Association's Liverpool correspondent says:—Mrs. Hayes, landlady of Dinham Villa, of which the police at present hold the keys, on Thursday, announced her intention to sell the villa now notorious for the Rainhill murders and erect another. Reminiscences of the doings of Deeming continue to crop up. Mr. W. Gustrell, formerly proprietor of the Falcon Restaurant at Liverpool, says he saw Deeming several times in the spring of last year. He was a regular customer at the restaurant, spending money lavishly and giving presents. On one occasion, while conversing with Mr. Gustrell, Deeming handed him a card bearing the name A. Williams, and commented on the large business in Johannesburg. Mr. Gustrell desired to introduce him to a Liverpool silversmith and jeweller, who had just returned from Johannesburg, and was present in the restaurant. Deeming deprecated the notion, and seemed very much annoyed and disturbed. He left the restaurant and was never seen again. Gustrell is certain A. Williams and Deeming are one and the same person. Some further particulars have transpired with reference to Deeming's career in South Africa. At Klerksdorf, in April 1889, Deeming turned up as a mine manager. He made the acquaintance of a storekeeper who introduced him to a gentleman of means, with whom negociations were entered into for the purchase of several very valuable properties. For these Deeming alleged he was agent. After considerable negociations the gentleman in question sailed from Cape Town, leaving, as his agent, Mr. Grice, of Johannesburg. Returning then, Mr. Grice found Deeming in the gentleman's name to his agent, Mr. Grice, telling the latter to pay over to Deeming £2,200. Deeming then represented himself as having appointed him resident secretary of the mines he said he represented. Deeming then left for Cape Town and sent his family home. He said he had met a Mr. Levey, who was wishful to purchase property near the Lancashire county match. It is risky work specialising on the result, but I suppose I ought to tot up the chances.

Taking the season through we have had the best of matters. A very weak team went to Halifax, and was beaten by three points. On the home ground, Huddersfield again having several prominent men away, we won by seven points to four. The Halifax backs have shown themselves to be overplayed by pluck, very faulty game, and in a tight squash their forwards are not strong enough to hold their own with a pack like ours, although they have shown themselves to be a much superior set in the loose. On the other hand, we have what a merchant Halifax have by running into the semi-final for the Cup before they will think of being satisfied. If last week's form is trustworthy they should not have much chance, but I don't think it is.

Clearly it will never do to hold them too light, and if Huddersfield are to win, the team will have to work like niggers. We have material enough in the pack if the forwards will work, but there will have to be genuine tough scrimmaging from the kick-off right to the very end. The state of the shakestand has often been conspicuous in the Huddersfield game during the last quarter of an hour or so. In fact "piano" playing must be put aside, and the Halifax men's dangerous loose rushes must be stopped in any cost. Then I think we should win. Otherwise we shall have a vacancy for a miracle or two if we are to go forward to the next round.

The team is as follows:—I

W. H. Eagland, back; J. Dyson (captain), W. H. Smith, and A. Crowther, three-quarter backs; Joe Kaye and F. H. Dugdale, half backs; J. Brook, T. Dickinson, P. Jackson, H. Lodge, H. Morris, T. C. Scott, J. Schofield, J. H. Shaw, and J. W. Thewlis, forwards.

Last week's procession scarcely lends itself to serious criticism. There are just a few points about it, however, worthy of notice. As thus:—

	Tries.
Dyson	4
Joe Jackson	3
Scott	2
Crowther	1
Dickinson	1
Thewlis	1
Smith	1
	13

	cks.	Goals.
Smith	5	---- 5
Thewlis	5	---- 5
Eagland	2	---- 0
Scott	1	---- 0
	13	6

To take the kicks first Smith proved a deadly shot beyond even what the score indicates. All his kicks were easy ones, but they were beautifully kept right over the middle of the cross-bar. Thewlis had a lot more difficult shots, but was evidently out of form, and should have been taken off earlier. The other three shots were all long ones, and curiously enough were all grand kicks, that just missed the post by a hair's breadth, so to speak. By the way, Smith has never failed as goal yet, I believe.

Normanton followed the too common practice of late clubs in the Cup Ties. Rough tackling, wing forwarding, and a half-a-dozen times over, he never could see a man who had the ball. Then Dyson picked up off the ground and ran in, but what folly it is to call all *that* football. Dyson, although he got four tries, appeared to be saving himself for to-morrow.

Last week's procession scarcely lends itself to serious criticism. There are just a few points about it, however, worthy of notice. As thus:—

	Tries.
Dyson	4
Joe Jackson	3
Scott	2
Crowther	1
Dickinson	1
Thewlis	1
Smith	1
	13

	cks.	Goals.
Smith	5	---- 5
Thewlis	5	---- 5
Eagland	2	---- 0
Scott	1	---- 0
	13	6

To take the kicks first Smith proved a deadly shot beyond even what the score indicates. All his kicks were easy ones, but they were beautifully kept right over the middle of the cross-bar. Thewlis had a lot more difficult shots, but was evidently out of form, and should have been taken off earlier. The other three shots were all long ones, and curiously enough were all grand kicks, that just missed the post by a hair's breadth, so to speak. By the way, Smith has never failed as goal yet, I believe.

Normanton followed the too common practice of late clubs in the Cup Ties. Rough tackling, wing forwarding, and a half-a-dozen times over, he never could see a man who had the ball. Then Dyson picked up off the ground and ran in, but what folly it is to call all *that* football. Dyson, although he got four tries, appeared to be saving himself for to-morrow.

Eagland made much better form than he has done lately. He got off with his usual dash, and although a severe critic remarked to me that he always fell down when he was touched, I think he will be really dangerous when his running is a bit smarter and a bit stronger in the football sense. His try was obtained by some scientific play. The forwards were driving ahead down the left wing. "Timmy" sprinted down his side at the same time working into the middle of the field, and could not have chosen his position better if he had known what was going to happen. Then he got possession and dodged in a very neat manner that would have beaten better men than Normanton St. John's.

Eagland had nothing much to do. Of the forwards Joe Jackson was simply ubiquitous. Wherever the ball was, there was Joe. His dribbling was round and with three tries for himself, but he had a hand in most of the others. Scott did some useful loose work, and Dickinson, as usual was a worker in the tight as well as in the loose. His try was an excellent instance of what can be done with pluck, and was evidently a bit of an eye-opener for the visitors. Thewlis had a few little bursts to himself from one of which he scored, and also proved a sterling worker. The burly Paul did plenty of shoving, and Lodge and Morris were frequently conspicuous.

I may remark, however, before I leave the game that the forward play of last Saturday won't beat Halifax. The issue of the game practically depends on the pack, and if they are going to hold their own they will have to work better together and better individually. Huddersfield were all three-quarters to them last time they were here.

Holmfirth gave Lockwood "beans" on Saturday. The home points to four is rather decisive, but perhaps I had better say nothing about Lockwood. The County Committee having got through the difficult operation of "sustaining the action of their secretary" over the Bankfoot difficulty, I think I had better not attempt to mind any of the Lockwood club's business for them. Honley played Dewsbury last March, and gave the heavy woollens the defeat they were said have intended for the other St. Mark's in the Cup Ties. Some Honley people do just fancy their club for the district Cup I can assure you. I mentioned last week the respectful manner in which they speak of the Low Moor St. Mark's men, and am interested to notice that Low Moor defeated Hebden Bridge on Saturday. Next Saturday they meet Elland, and I for one should not be surprised to see the local men win. Elland have evenly matched. The persistency in which Low Moor are playing them against clubs in this neighbourhood is noteworthy.

Elland easily defeated Paddock, for whom they were too good at all points. Else did most of the work for Paddock, and Arnie was very prominent among the Ellanders. Just like Archer, eh?

The Huddersfield "A" team were also successful, having two tries in hand against Nortonthorpe. I am told that Taylor played a clinking game. He got one try himself, and the other was obtained by T. Earnshaw after Taylor had made an opening. Else Mr. Hill had nothing to show against the 13 points of Milnsbridge, who got over the line five times. Poor old Roses.

The last report I hear about the ground is that this week's fine weather has got it into grand condition. All the straw has been cleared away from down the touch-line, and the little bits that had worked their way into the ground have been most carefully removed, 23 loads having been carted off in this fashion. What we want now is for the Huddersfield people to line up, and to be on the ground early enough to get a good place. There is certain to be a big crush in the opinion of

UMPIRE.

TEAMS FOR TO-MORROW.

NEWHILL.—W. Mitchell, back; J. Booth, F. Walker, and F. Broadbent, three-quarter backs; H. Cartwright, and T. Broadbent, half backs; J. Mitchell, J. Mitchell, J. Mitchell, J. Lodge, T. Hinchliff, W. Booth, W. Pownall, W. Whitehead, and Jim Broadbent, forwards. Reserve, T. Hothwell. Umpire, Firth F.C.

FOOTBALL.

TO-MORROW'S FIXTURES.

To be played on the ground of the first-named club.

YORKSHIRE CUP.—SECOND ROUND.

Huddersfield v. Halifax.
Low Moor St. Mark's v. Elland.

ORDINARY FIXTURES.

Halifax A v. Holmfirth.
*Kirkheaton v. Shepley.
*Milnsbridge v. Honley.
*Greetland v. Paddock.
Lockwood v. Huddersfield St. Joseph's.
*Primrose Hill v. Leeds East End.
Barnsley v. Crosland Moor.
Holmfirth v. Crosland Moor Undenad.
Crosland Moor 2nd v. Lockwood 2nd.
Grosvenor Park v. Lindley Parish Church.
Netherend Hill v. Saddleworth.

When a fixture is preceded with an asterisk it denotes that the second team will meet on the contra ground.

SPORTING INTELLIGENCE

MANCHESTER BETTING.—YESTERDAY

LIVERPOOL GRAND NATIONAL.

7 to 1 agst	The Primate
9 to 1	Hollington t f
9 to 1	Cloister t & o
100 to 8	Arbaran
100 to 7	Tenby t & w
100 to 6	Father O'Flynn t & o
100 to 6	Jason t
18 to 1	Jason t
25 to 1	The Midshipmite t & o
33 to 1	Voltaire t

SCRATCHINGS.

Leprechaun, Arsonist, and Sarah Bernhardt out of the Liverpool Grand National.

Red Tulip out of all engagements.

YESTERDAY'S RACING.

LIVERPOOL SPRING MEETING.

LIVERPOOL HURDLE HANDICAP of 10 sovs each, with 300 sovs added. Two miles, over eight hurdles.

12 9 Mr H Hesseman's Prince Frederick, aged. J Woodburn 1

11 8 Mr Dobell's Worldly Wise, 5yrs ....G Morris 2

11 11 Mr Sneyd's Lady Vilhkins, aged. Captain Owen 3

MARCH TWO-YEARS-OLDE STAKES of 150 sovs; colts 8st 13lb, fillies 8st 10lb. Five furlongs.

8 13 Mr Chaloner's Duke o' Berwick ....M Cannon 1

8 13 Mr Chaloner's Duke o' Berwick ....G Chaloner 2

8 8 Mr Jordan's Bitter Orange ..... Rickaby 3

SEVENTEENTH SUBSCRIPTION HANDICAP of 800 sovs: for three-years-old, colts 9st, fillies 8st 9lb. Mile and a half.

9 4 Mr Blundell Maple's Scarborough, J Woodburn 1

7 8 Mr Blundell Maple's Mystery, 5yrs ....M Cannon 2

7 7 Mr A Taylor's Stuart..... R Chaloner 3

PRINCE OF WALES'S PLATE of 800 sovs. Canal Point in, nearly six furlongs.

9 7 Mr Blundell Maple's Prince Hildebrand, J Woodburn 1

7 4 Colonel O Montague's Novette, 5yrs ....Ashman 2

8 10 Mr W M Redfern's Magician, 5yrs ..... Watts 3

MOLYNEUX STAKES of 5 sovs each, with 500 sovs added: for two-years-old; colts 8st 12lb, fillies 8st 9lb. Five furlongs.

8 12 Mr Blundel Maple's Cadeby ....J. Woodburn 1

8 9 Mr Houldsworth's Greeba ..... M Cannon 2

8 12 Mr Peck's Bellamina ..... Watts 3

FIFTH HOYLAKE HUNT STEEPLECHASE of 1,200 sovs: four-years-old 10st 7lb, five 11st 12lb, six and aged 12st 7lb. About three miles, finishing as for the Grand National.

12 7 Mr W F North's Prince Edward, 5yrs ..... Mr D Bibby 1

13 7 Mr D J Jardine's Why Not, aged ..... Capt Owen 2

ARBEYSTEAD STEEPLECHASE of 250 sovs; four-years-old 10st 4lb, five 11st 7lb. About two miles and a quarter.

11 7 Mr H L Powell's Kilbarry, 5yrs Mr C Dormer 1

10 4 Mr W G Rustia's The Sheriff, 5yrs ..... J Riley 2

10 4 Mr Irving's Wakefield, 5yrs ..... R Clark 3

NATIONAL WELTER CUP of 250 sovs in specie or plate. Cup Course (one mile and three furlongs).

10 11 Mr W Brophy's Wordsworth, 5yrs Mr T Beasley 1

10 5 Mr W Glazebrook's Harlow, aged Mr G B Milne 2

11 4 Captain Mandslay's Finale, 5yrs Capt Mandslay 3

BETTING ON THE COURSE.

LIVERPOOL GRAND NATIONAL.

600 to 100	The Primate t & o
900 to 100	Cloister t
1000 to 90	Ardsarn t
1000 to 90	Hollington t
1000 to 70	Tenby t; after 1500 to 100 laid
1000 to 70	Jason t; after 1000 to 50 & 1000 to 60
1000 to 60	Itex t
20 to 1	Lord Arthur t
20 to 1	The Midshipmite t; after 1000 to 55
25 to 1	Miss Taylor t laid
500 to 15	Rolleaby t
500 to 12	Ulysses t
50 to 1	Southam t

HARDAWAY and TOPPING (late Boulogne-sur-Mer) have now commenced their winter season at their NEW AND ONLY address, Finishing, Holland, where ALL communications must be addressed. They have the oldest-established firm in the world. No Representatives.

IS FOOTBALL DANGEROUS?

THE KILLED AND INJURED FOR THE SEASON 1891-2.

A correspondent of the *Pall Mall Gazette* gives the following list of football accidents which have occurred during the current season, and which he has culled from the papers. It need scarcely be said that nothing of a trivial character has been included in the list, for the fatalities and injuries are all of a more or slight hurts sustained at nearly every match. As will be seen from the summary appended the injuries were of a serious kind; out of 120 cases recorded we find that 13 were killed outright; 15 players had their collar-bones broken; 7 their arms broken or dislocated; 5 had their collar-bone dislocated; 4 were severely hurt by being violently kicked; 5 are said to have had their knees injured; 4 suffered concussion of the brain; no fewer than 39 had their legs broken, and 17 their ankles dislocated. A few Yorkshire accidents appear on the list; but probably the correspondent has not noticed all that have been reported. Those he mentions are as follows:—On October 17th, John Hoggard, Leeds, had his knee-pan fractured whilst playing on the Bank. On October 31st, George Clark, of Keighley, received injuries from which he died four days later. On November 7th Richard Yeadon had his collar-bone dislocated at Farsley whilst playing forward against Bradford Crusaders. On November 14th Greenwood broke his collar-bone at Shipley v. Bowling match. On February 6th Joe Kirk was tackled while running with the ball (Shipley v. Bowling), and broke his left leg, and others fell upon him, his spine being injured.

POSTAGE OF NEWSPAPERS.

The following is the number of postage stamps requisite to be affixed to newspapers to the undermentioned countries:—

Arabia via S